1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13	JOSEPH W. COTCHETT (Cal. SBN 36324) jcotchett@cpmlegal.com MARK C. MOLUMPHY (Cal. SBN 168009) mmolumphy@cpmlegal.com NANCI E. NISHIMURA (Cal. SBN 152621) nnishimura@cpmlegal.com ARON K. LIANG (Cal. SBN 228936) aliang@cpmlegal.com MATTHEW K. EDLING (Cal. SBN: 250940) medling@cpmlegal.com COTCHETT, PITRE & McCARTHY, LLP 840 Malcolm Road, Suite 200 Burlingame, CA 94010 Tel: (650) 697-6000 Fax: (650) 697-0577 Attorneys for Plaintiff Stanley Morrical, derivatively on behalf of Hewlett-Packard Company, in the action entitled, Morrical v. Margaret C. Whitman, et al., 12-CV	V-06434 DISTRICT COURT
14		ICT OF CALIFORNIA
15 16	PHILIP RICCARDI,	CASE NO. 12-CV-06003-CRB
17	Plaintiff, v.	DECLARATION OF MATTHEW K. EDLING IN SUPPORT OF REPLY
18	MICHAEL R. LYNCH, et al.,	MEMORANDUM IN SUPPORT OF STANLEY MORRICAL'S MOTION FOR
19 20	Defendants,	APPOINTMENT AS LEAD PLAINTIFF AND APPROVAL OF A
21	-and-	LEADERSHIP STRUCTURE FOR PLAINTIFFS' COUNSEL
22	HEWLETT-PACKARD COMPANY,	Date: March 1, 2013
23	Nominal Defendant.	Time: 10:00 a.m. Ctrm: 6, 17th Floor
24		Judge: Hon. Charles R. Breyer
25	[Caption continues on following page.]	
26		
	I I	
27		

LAW OFFICES COTCHETT, PITRE & MCCARTHY, LLP DECLARATION OF MATTHEW K. EDLING IN SUPPORT OF REPLY MEMORANDUM IN SUPPORT OF STANLEY MORRICAL'S MOTION FOR APPOINTMENT AS LEAD PLAINTIFF AND APPROVAL OF A LEADERSHIP STRUCTURE FOR PLAINTIFFS' COUNSEL

ENERSTO ESPINOZA,	CASE NO. 12-CV-06025-CRB
Plaintiff, v.	Judge: Hon. Charles R. Breyer Complaint Filed: November 27, 2012
MICHAEL R. LYNCH, et al.,	
Defendants,	
-and-	
HEWLETT-PACKARD COMPANY,	
Nominal Defendant.	
ANDREA BASCHERI, et al.,	CASE NO. 12-CV-06091-CRB
Plaintiffs, v.	Judge: Hon. Charles R. Breyer Complaint Filed: November 30, 2012
LEO APOTHEKER, et al.,	
Defendants,	
-and-	
HEWLETT-PACKARD COMPANY,	
Nominal Defendant.	
MARTIN BERTISCH,	CASE NO. 12-CV-06123-CRB
Plaintiff, v.	Judge: Hon. Charles R. Breyer Complaint Filed: December 3, 2012
LEO APOTHEKER, et al.,	
Defendants,	
-and-	
HEWLETT-PACKARD COMPANY,	
Nominal Defendant.	
DECLARATION OF MATTHEW K. EDLING	IN SUPPORT OF REPLY MEMORANDUM IN

LAW OFFICES
COTCHETT, PITRE
& MCCARTHY, LLP

DECLARATION OF MATTHEW K. EDLING IN SUPPORT OF REPLY MEMORANDUM IN SUPPORT OF STANLEY MORRICAL'S MOTION FOR APPOINTMENT AS LEAD PLAINTIFF AND APPROVAL OF A LEADERSHIP STRUCTURE FOR PLAINTIFFS' COUNSEL

1	THE CITY OF BIRMINGHAM RETIREMENT AND RELIEF SYSTEM,	CASE NO. 12-CV-06416-CRB
2	Plaintiff,	Judge: Hon. Charles R. Breyer
3	V.	Complaint Filed: December 18, 2012
4	LEO APOTHEKER, et al.,	
5	Defendants,	
6	-and-	
7		
8	HEWLETT-PACKARD COMPANY,	
9	Nominal Defendant.	
10	JOSEPH TOLA,	CASE NO. 12-CV-06423-CRB
11	Plaintiff,	Judge: Hon. Charles R. Breyer
12	V.	Complaint Filed: December 18, 2012
13	MICHAEL R. LYNCH, et al.,	
14	Defendants,	
15	-and-	
16	HEWLETT-PACKARD COMPANY,	
17	,	
18	Nominal Defendant.	
19	STANLEY MORRICAL,	CASE NO. 12-CV-06434-CRB
20	Plaintiff,	Judge: Hon. Charles R. Breyer
21	V.	Complaint Filed: December 19, 2012
22	MARGARET C. WHITMAN, et al.,	
23	Defendants,	
24	-and-	
25	HEWLETT-PACKARD COMPANY,	
26	Nominal Defendant.	
27		

28

LAW OFFICES
COTCHETT, PITRE
& MCCARTHY, LLP

DECLARATION OF MATTHEW K. EDLING IN SUPPORT OF REPLY MEMORANDUM IN SUPPORT OF STANLEY MORRICAL'S MOTION FOR APPOINTMENT AS LEAD PLAINTIFF AND APPROVAL OF A LEADERSHIP STRUCTURE FOR PLAINTIFFS' COUNSEL

I, MATTHEW K. EDLING, declare:

- 1. I am an attorney duly admitted to practice before all courts of the State of California and all federal district courts located in California. I am a senior associate with Cotchett, Pitre, & McCarthy, LLP, counsel for proposed Lead Plaintiff Stanley Morrical. I submit this declaration in support of the Reply Memorandum In Support of Stanley Morrical's Motion For Appointment As Lead Plaintiff and Approval of a Leadership Structure For Plaintiffs' Counsel. I have personal knowledge of the facts stated herein. If called upon to do so, I could and would competently testify thereto.
- 2. On February 11, 2013, my firm was one of ten law firms named to the National Law Journal's Litigation Boutique Hot List. Attached as **Exhibit A** is a true and correct copy of the National Law Journal 2012 List of the Top Ten Litigation Boutiques and its article about my firm.
- 3. Prior to filing his shareholder derivative complaint, as permitted under California Corporations Code § 1601(a), Plaintiff Stanley Morrical requested books and records from Hewlett Packard Company. After HP placed preconditions on my client's inspection rights my client filed his verified petition for writ of mandate for the inspection of corporate books and records. A true and correct copy of the caption page of this verified petition is attached as **Exhibit B.**
- 4. My firm, on behalf of Mr. Morrical, entered into a confidentiality agreement with HP, which will allow for any lead plaintiff, lead counsel or executive committee member and their clients to review the books and records that HP produces in response to Mr. Morrical's statutory request.

I declare under penalty of perjury under the laws of the United States of America that the foregoing is true and correct. Executed this 15th day of February 2013, at Burlingame, California.

By: /s/ Matthew K. Edling

MATTHEW K. EDLING

EXHIBIT A



RivalEdge

THE ONLY LISTENING PLATFORM TO TRACK YOUR CLIENTS AND COMPETITION.





ALM Properties, Inc.

Page printed from: http://www.nlj.com

Back to Article

Litigation Boutiques Hot List

The National Law Journal

02-11-2013

They may be small, but they command attention.

The 10 law firms on our Litigation Boutiques Hot List, each fielding no more than 50 lawyers, demonstrate that when it comes to high-stakes cases it's all about skill, not size. Whether they're working on the plaintiffs' or defense side of big pharma, big oil, white-collar or agriculture matters, the lawyers practicing at these streamlined shops set precedents, righted wrongs or saved companies during 2012. — *Leigh Jones*



BECK REDDEN
Firm approaches litigation
with relish



COTCHETT PITRE
& McCARTHY
Toyota case marks a new
high-water mark



GOLDMAN ISMAIL
TOMASELLI BRENNAN & BAUM
For efficacious relief from
pesky lawsuits

MORVILLO ABRAMOWITZ GRAND IASON & ANELLO Firm makes problems slink quietly away



CLIFFORD LAW OFFICE Humility works to client's advantage



GASS WEBER MULLINS
Small and scrappy seems
to pay off



KAPLAN FOX & KILSHEIMER A big commitment pays big dividends

SANFORD HEISLER

'We level the playing field
for our clients'







STONE & MAGNANINI Reaping the dividends of new independence





WATTS GUERRA CRAFT They live to work hard and litigate

Copyright 2013. ALM Media Properties, LLC. All rights reserved.



LAW JOURNAL

ALM Properties, Inc.

Page printed from: http://www.nlj.com

Back to Article

Toyota case marks a new high-water mark

Amanda Bronstad

The National Law Journal

02-11-2013

Nearly three years after Toyota Motor Corp. recalled more than 10 million vehicles for defects associated with sudden acceleration, a team of three plaintiffs' attorneys in December reached a \$1.3 billion settlement to resolve economic damages claims by consumers. One of those attorneys was Frank Pitre, a name partner at Cotchett, Pitre & McCarthy, who was in charge of claims brought by independent auto dealers, rental car companies and other commercial plaintiffs who bought Toyota vehicles.

You might call it a high-water mark for the 27-attorney firm in Burlingame, Calif., south of San Francisco. "We had some great verdicts, but nothing near \$1 billion," said name partner Joseph Cotchett, a veteran trial attorney, whose previous successes include a \$3.3 billion verdict against Charles Keating Jr., a prime mover in the savings-and-loan scandal of the late 1980s. In addition to Pitre and Cotchett, the firm has 24 principals and one associate and offices in Sacramento, Calif., and New York.

Pitre, Cotchett said, spent half his time on the Toyota case, in which the plaintiffs seek \$200 million in fees. The other half he spent bringing individual cases against Pacific Gas & Electric Co. over a 2010 pipeline explosion in San Bruno, Calif., that killed eight people, injured dozens and destroyed 38 homes. Cotchett Pitre has settled half of its 60 explosion-related lawsuits, Cotchett said. The settlements have been filed under seal, but PG&E has acknowledged it could face more than \$1 billion in state regulatory fines and legal settlements.

Cotchett Pitre also reached settlements in continuing price-fixing litigation against a group of freight forwarders; so far, the firm has amassed \$120 million in agreements, including \$28 million from Kuehne + Nagel Inc., Cotchett said. Many of the defendants, including Kuehne + Nagel, have paid criminal fines in related actions brought by the U.S. Department of Justice.

Firm principal Mark Molumphy spearheaded a derivative shareholder action accusing Oracle Corp. of overbilling the U.S. government in which the firm obtained a "huge settlement," filed under seal, Cotchett said. In a securities action against the trustees of Medical Capital Holdings Inc., a Ponzi scheme whose business was purportedly to purchase medical receivables, Molumphy settled in December with one of the bank defendants, The Bank of New York Mellon Corp., for \$114 million. Wells Fargo & Co. faces trial in April. In June, both banks paid \$106 million to settle related claims as part of a U.S. Securities and Exchange Commission action.

TRIAL TIPS

You have to think outside the box of conventional legal thinking. You have to have passion and belief in the litigation. You win by getting back up after a hit — an old sports adage.

— Joseph Cotchett, Cotchett, Pitre & McCarthy

EXHIBIT B

► LAW OFFICES
COTCHETT, PITRE
& MCCARTHY, LLP

VERIFIED WRIT OF MANDATE